I'm not a bot

Mitigating circumstances are factors that can lessen the seriousness of a person's actions or their blameworthiness for those actions. Imagine a situation where someone commits a crime, but there are reasons that explain why they did it. These reasons can help a judge or jury understand the context and may lead to a lighter punishment. For example, if a young person commits a crime, their age might be considered a mitigating circumstance. The idea is that they may not fully understand the consequences of their actions, which can influence how they are treated in court.In legal cases, both criminal and civil, mitigating circumstances play an important role. They can be used to argue for a reduced sentence or lower damages. For instance, if someone has a mental health issue that affected their behavior at the time of the crime, this could be a mitigating factor. The law recognizes that not everyone acts with the same level of intent or understanding, and these differences can impact the outcome of a case.Judges and juries have the authority to consider these circumstances when making decisions. This means that even if the law sets certain guidelines, the human element is still very much in play. A judge might take into account a defendant's background, such as whether they have a clean record or if they were under extreme stress when the incident occurred. This flexibility allows for a more personalized approach to justice.Different places may recognize different mitigating circumstances. For example, in some jurisdictions, factors like a defendant's age, mental state, or lack of prior offenses can be considered. In federal cases involving the death penalty, specific mitigating factors are outlined in the law, such as whether the person acted under duress or had diminished capacity. This shows that the legal system can adapt to the unique circumstances of each case.On the flip side, there are also aggravating circumstances, which are factors that can make a situation worse. These might include prior convictions or the severity of the crime. Understanding both mitigating and aggravating circumstances helps to create a balanced view of justice, ensuring that each case is evaluated fairly based on its specific details.What are some examples of "mitigating circumstances" in legal contracts?Criminal Sentencing Guidelines: "The judge considered the defendant's age as a mitigating circumstance, leading to a lighter sentence."Child Custody Agreement: "The court recognized the mother's mental health struggles as a mitigating circumstance in determining custody arrangements."Personal Injury Settlement: "The defendant's lack of a prior criminal record was presented as a mitigating circumstance during the settlement negotiations."Probation Agreement: "The judge noted the defendant's participation in rehabilitation programs as a mitigating circumstance when deciding on probation terms."Death Penalty Case: "The jury found that the defendant's impaired capacity was a significant mitigating circumstance in their sentencing decision."Divorce Settlement: "The wife's emotional distress during the marriage was considered a mitigating circumstance in the division of assets."Traffic Violation Case: "The driver's clean driving record was highlighted as a mitigating circumstance during the court hearing."Employment Disciplinary Action: "The employee's personal hardships were taken into account as mitigating circumstances when deciding on the appropriate disciplinary action."FAQs about "mitigating circumstances"What is meant by mitigating circumstances?Mitigating circumstances are factors that can lessen the severity of a crime or the punishment someone might receive. They help explain why a person acted in a certain way, showing that there are reasons to consider when deciding on a fair outcome.How do mitigating circumstances affect a legal case?Mitigating circumstances can lead to a lighter sentence or a more lenient judgment. For example, if someone committed a crime under extreme stress or due to a mental health issue, these factors might be taken into account to reduce their punishment.What are some examples of mitigating circumstances?Examples of mitigating circumstances include a person's age, mental health issues, lack of prior criminal history, or if they were under duress (pressured to commit the crime). These factors can help the court understand the situation better.Who decides what counts as mitigating circumstances?The judge and sometimes the jury in a court case decide what counts as mitigating circumstances. They consider the evidence presented by both the defense and the prosecution to determine if any factors should lessen the punishment.Can mitigating circumstances change the outcome of a trial?Yes, mitigating circumstances can significantly change the outcome of a trial. If the court finds strong mitigating factors, it may lead to a reduced sentence or even a different verdict, such as a lesser charge.How are mitigating circumstances presented in court?Mitigating circumstances are usually presented by the defense attorney during the sentencing phase of a trial. They may provide evidence, witness testimonies, or expert opinions to support their claims about the defendant's situation.What is the difference between mitigating and aggravating circumstances?Mitigating circumstances are factors that lessen the severity of a crime, while aggravating circumstances are factors that make a crime worse. Aggravating circumstances can lead to harsher penalties, whereas mitigating circumstances can lead to lighter ones.Can a person appeal a sentence based on mitigating circumstances?Yes, a person can appeal a sentence if they believe that the court did not properly consider mitigating circumstances. They would need to show that these factors were significant enough to potentially change the outcome of their case.How important are mitigating circumstances in sentencing?Mitigating circumstances can be very important in sentencing. They provide context for the crime and can influence the judge's decision on how to fairly punish the offender, ensuring that the punishment fits the individual circumstances of the case. Also found in: Dictionary, Encyclopedia, Wikipedia.Related to mitigating circumstances: Extenuating circumstances Circumstances that may be considered by a court in determining culpability of a defendant or the extent of damages to be awarded to a plaintiff. Mitigating circumstances do not justify or excuse an offense but may reduce the severity of a charge. Similarly, a recognition of mitigating circumstances to reduce a damage award does not imply that the damages were not suffered but that they have been partially ameliorated. In criminal cases where the death penalty may be imposed, the Supreme Court has held that, under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments, juries must be instructed that they may consider mitigating circumstances such as the defendant's youth, mental capacity, or childhood abuse so that they may reach a reasoned and moral sentencing decision. (See Penry v. Lynaugh, 492 U.S. 302, 109 S. Ct. 2934, 106 L. Ed. 2d 256 [1989].) Mitigating circumstances may be used to reduce a charge against a defendant. In People v. Morrin, 31 Mich. App. 301, 187 N.W.2d 434 (1971), the Michigan Court of Appeals reversed and remanded Morrin's conviction on first-degree murder charges because he committed the murder in the heat of passion caused by adequate legal provocation. The court found that because of these mitigating circumstances, the evidence was insufficient to support a first-degree murder conviction, which requires malice aforethought. In civil actions mitigating circumstances may be considered to reduce damage awards or the extent of the defendant's liability. In Cerretti v. Flint Hills Rural Electric Cooperative Ass'n, 251 Kan. 347, 837 P.2d 330 (1992), the Supreme Court of Kansas held that a court, in reviewing a damage award, may consider any mitigating circumstances that affected the intent of the defendant, the financial worth of the defendant, or the plaintiff's expenses. Many states allow defendants in Defamation actions to prove mitigating circumstances by showing that they acted in Good Faith, with honesty of purpose, and without malice in speaking or publishing the defamatory words. If the court is convinced that legitimate mitigating circumstances existed, it may reduce the amount of damages the defendant is required to pay. In Roemer v. Retail Credit Co., 44 Cal. App. 3d 926, 119 Cal. Rptr. 82 (1975), the defendant claimed that the plaintiff defaced the wall of his office, thereby mitigating the defendant's liability for defamatory statements. However, the court did not allow the defendant to introduce this evidence because he could not prove that the plaintiff was responsible for the defacement. Cross-references Capital Punishment; Criminal Law.West's Encyclopedia of American Law, edition 2. Copyright 2008 The Gale Group, Inc. All rights reserved.n. in criminal law, conditions or happenings which do not excuse or justify criminal conduct, but are considered out of mercy or fairness in deciding the degree of the offense the prosecutor charges or influence reduction of the penalty upon conviction. Example: a young man shoots his father after years of being beaten, belittled, sworn at, and treated without love. "Heat of passion," or "diminished capacity" are forms of such mitigating circumstances. (See: heat of passion, diminished capacity, "twinky" defense)Copyright 1981-2005 by Gerald N. Hill and Kathleen T. Hill. All Right reserved. see MITIGATION.Collins Dictionary of Law W.J. Stewart, 2006 Want to thank TFD for its existence? Tell a friend about us, add a link to this page, or visit the webmaster's page for free fun content. Link to this page: mitigating circumstances 'This sanction takes into account the fact that Benjamin Tameifuna argued mitigating circumstances, such as his poor command of the French language which prevented him from understanding the demands of the police and led him to panic in front of them,' Racing said in a statement.You are instructed that this evidence is presented in order for you to determine, as you will be instructed, (1) whether each aggravating factor is proven beyond a reasonable doubt; (2) whether one or more aggravating factors exist beyond a reasonable doubt; (3) whether the aggravating factors found to exist beyond a reasonable doubt are sufficient to justify the imposition of the death penalty; (4) whether mitigating circumstances are proven by the greater weight of the evidence; (5) whether the aggravating factors outweigh the mitigating circumstances; and (6) whether the defendant should be sentenced to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or death.Currently drivers face running the risk of a PS100 fine - as well as three penalty points - for jumping a red light if there are no special mitigating circumstances. Mitigating circumstances are facts that do not excuse a person for civil or criminal misconduct, but which may show that he had some valid reasons for his actions. Mitigating circumstances are often used in court proceedings when the judge or jury determines a defendants sentence for a crime, or damages in a civil lawsuit. To explore this concept, consider the mitigating circumstances definition.NounCircumstances that tend to lessen the culpability of a defendant.All court cases are different, with specific circumstances that tend to either make the crime or wrongdoing more odious, or which lighten the defendants blame. Circumstances which lighten the blame or culpability are called mitigating circumstances, and may be considered by the judge or jury in determining the sentence or award of damages after a judgment has been rendered.While mitigating circumstances do not affect the decision of whether or not the defendant committed the wrong, they may help the judge lean toward leniency in sentencing or award in the end. Circumstances or facts that shed additional light on the heinous or shocking nature of the defendants actions are called aggravating circumstances, and may be used to increase the severity of the sentence or amount of the award.Mitigating circumstances in civil law are used not to determine the guilt or wrongdoing of the defendant, but to determine the amount that the plaintiff should receive as an award if he wins the case. While mitigating circumstances in civil law do not imply that a person did not suffer damages, they may reduce the amount that the plaintiff receives.For example:Brad filed a civil lawsuit against John, claiming John had defamed his character by sending an email to their coworkers, in an attempt to damage Brads reputation. During the civil court proceedings, John showed the court that Brad had written obscenities on his cubicle wall.If John can prove that he reasonably believed that it was Brad who defaced his space, the court may consider this to be a mitigating circumstance, which would lessen Johns liability, and decrease the amount of damages he may be ordered to pay.When deciding what sentence to hand down for a defendant who has been convicted of a crime, the court usually considers information about the crime committed, as well as information about the offender. Mitigating circumstances do not, in any way, dismiss the fact that the defendant violated the law, but they may lessen the penalties that the defendant receives for committing the crime.For example:Wanda walks in on her husband having an affair with another woman. She becomes enraged, picks up a heavy lamp, and hits him over the head, killing him. If Wanda is convicted of murdering her husband, the court may consider her emotional state as a mitigating circumstance when sentencing her. This does not excuse Wanda from committing murder, but sheds light on the reason for her actions.In any criminal case in which the death penalty might be imposed, the judge or jury may consider any mitigating circumstances presented by the defense in determining whether to sentence the defendant to death or life in prison. The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that a jury should weigh mitigating factors presented by the defense against aggravating factors presented by the prosecution in making its decision.The laws of each jurisdiction define what factors may be considered aggravating circumstances. These generally include such factors as:Murders committed for financial gainMurders committed during the commission of another crimeMurders of police officersMurders of multiple victimsDuring capital cases, the defense can produce evidence showing the court why the defendant should not be sentenced to death.Because many situations may be considered mitigating factors, there is no list set by law. Commonly used mitigating factors include:Defendants Age whether the defendant was an adult or minor at the time of the crime.Mental capacity such as the defendants intellectual disability, or mental state at the time of the crime.History of Abuse whether the defendant has a history of being abused.No Criminal Record this usually refers to a criminal history as an adult, but very serious offenses as a minor may be considered an aggravating circumstance.Victim Culpability the victim willingly took part in the crime or other events, which may have led to the crime.Unusual Circumstances the defendants actions were due to temporary emotional stress or severe provocation.No Harm Done the actions of the defendant were somehow justified, and did not cause harm to another person.Necessity the defendant committed the crime out of necessity.Remorse the defendant clearly shows he is remorseful for his actions.In 1985, Cordero Neelley kidnapped a 13-year-old girl so that her husband Alvin could have sex with her. Neelley took the girl to a hotel and threatened to kill her if she resisted. After beating and sexually assaulting the girl repeatedly over the course of several days, Neelley injected her with drain cleaner six times in an attempt to kill her. Neeley then shot the girl in the back. Neelley then picked up a couple, killed the man, and took his wife to her husband at the hotel. The man survived, but she killed the woman the following day.Neeley turned herself in the next day, and was charged with multiple counts of murder. The jury convicted Neeley, and recommended a life sentence. The judge disagreed, after weighing the aggravating and mitigating circumstances, sentencing Neeley to death.The aggravating circumstance considered by the judge included:The crime was committed during the course of kidnappingThe crime was extremely atrocious and cruel compared to other capital offenses that had come before the courtThe mitigating circumstances considered by the judge included:Neelleys age, as she was only 18 at the time of the crimeNeeley was greatly influenced by her husbandCivil Lawsuit A lawsuit brought about in court when one person claims to have suffered a loss due to the actions of another person.Civil Law The body of law dealing with private matters between individuals and corporations and other entities.Criminal Law The body of law dealing with criminal offenses and their punishment.Culpability Blameworthiness, deserving of blame or censure.Defendant A party against whom a lawsuit has been filed in civil court, or who has been accused of, or charged with, a crime or offense.Damages A monetary award in compensation for a financial loss, loss of or damage to personal or real property, or an injury.Defamation An intentional false statement that harms a persons reputation, or which decreases the respect or regard in which a person is held. Trending Popular Contact us Asked by: Ms. Christine Mayer|Last update: June 26, 2022 Score: 4.7/5 (72 votes) In criminal law, mitigating circumstances are factors that help to lessen the guilt of an offender and encourage the judge to be more lenient in their sentencing. Why are aggravating and mitigating circumstances important? Recognition of particular aggravating circumstances varies by jurisdiction. A mitigating factor is the opposite of an aggravating circumstance, as a mitigating factor provides reasons as to why punishment for a criminal act's ought to be lessened.What is the most important mitigating factor? No prior criminal record.Playing a minor role in the crime.The victim's liability.Past abuse that led to the criminal conduct.Provocation.Emotional distress.Physical or mental illness.Genuine remorse. What are the mitigating circumstances? Mitigating (or extenuating) circumstances are factors that tend to lessen the severity of a crime or its punishment by making the defendant's conduct understandable or less blameworthy. Mitigating circumstances might include a defendant's young age, mental illness or addiction, or minor role in the crime. What are some examples of mitigating circumstances? Mitigating circumstances can include things such as the age, mental state, history of abuse, or lack of criminal record of the defendant. These represent specific circumstances that lessen a person's culpability in court. This definition is also applied to mitigating factors. 21 related questions found Mitigating circumstances are circumstances outside your control which disrupt your studies and make it difficult to achieve your full potential in assessments. If you make a mitigating circumstances claim and it's accepted, you can delay taking an assessment until your ability to achieve is no longer impaired. Mitigating factors include previous good character, remorse or good conduct following arrest, voluntary compensation of victims, a full admission of facts and guilt, duress, very young or old age or minor role in the offence. Under Article 13 of the Revised Penal Code, mitigating circumstances are those circumstances which, if present in the commission of the crime, do not entirely free the actor from criminal liability, but serve only to reduce the penalty. 6. ORDINARY MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES 1. Incomplete justifying or exempting circumstance 2. Definition of unmitigated 1 : not lessened : unrelieved sufferings unmitigated by any hope of early relief. 2 : being so definitely what is stated as to offer little chance of change or relief an unmitigated disaster. the defendant's past criminal record, age, and sophistication. the circumstances under which the crime was committed, and. whether the defendant genuinely feels remorse. Extenuating circumstancesalso called mitigating factorsare facts or details that are important for fully understanding a situation. With this understanding, the actions a person took in that event might be viewed as less severe, or that person's culpability in those actions diminished. 5. The burden of proving the guilt of the defendant lies on the prosecution, who must prove the particulars of the offence beyond reasonable doubt; the jury or magistrates should only convict if they are sure of the defendant's guilt. Mitigating circumstances are circumstances that would be put forward in order to convince the chairperson to be lenient and lessen the severity of the sanction. By contrast, aggravating circumstances are circumstances which exacerbate the offence and may convince the chairperson to impose a harsher sanction. Aggravating factors are the reasons judges use when choosing a sentence that is higher than the average term. They include the severity of the crime, the vulnerability of the victim, and the history of the defendant. --Alternative circumstances are those which must be taken into consideration as aggravating or mitigating according to the nature and effects of the crime and other conditions attending its commission. They are relationship, intoxication, and degree of instruction and education of the offender." The voluntary plea of guilt required by law is one that is made by the accused in cognizance of the grievous wrong he has committed and must be done as an act of repentance and respect for the law. It is mitigating because it indicated a moral disposition in the accused favorable to his reform. Moreover, there are four kinds of aggravating circumstances, namely: (1) generic or those that can generally apply to all crimes; (2) specific or those that apply only to particular crimes; (3) qualifying or those that change the nature of the crime; and (4) inherent or those that must of necessity accompany the ... If you have suffered significant personal or family illness, bereavement or serious personal, emotional or financial challenges as a result of COVID-19 or other unforeseen circumstances unrelated to COVID-19, then you may wish to submit a claim for Mitigating Circumstances. You should normally receive the decision from the mitigating circumstances board within five working days of the submission of your claim. Exam stress It is not considered to be an acceptable extenuating circumstance unless a medical diagnosis of illness has been made. Strong circumstantial evidence that only leads to one logical conclusion can sometimes become the evidence the court uses in reaching belief beyond a reasonable doubt to convict an accused. It requires assumptions and logical inferences to be made by the court to attribute meaning to the evidence. What is reassuring for defendants is that whilst a signed statement from a complainant is enough for a charge, it is not necessarily enough to secure a conviction. The complainant must be able to convince the jury or magistrates that the defendant is guilty beyond reasonable doubt. There are four types evidence by which facts can be proven or disproven at trial which include:Real evidence;Demonstrative evidence;Documentary evidence; and.Testimonial evidence. Writing a letter to the judge in a legal case can indeed influence the outcome of a case. The letter can offer the judge background information that will help him or her make the best possible decision and, in some cases, such letters can help victims and other affected parties make their voices heard. On this page, you'll find the legal definition and meaning of Mitigating Circumstances, written in plain English, along with examples of how it is used.What is Mitigating Circumstances? (n) Mitigating Circumstances are the situations or conditions which compelled or influenced a person in doing a crime. Though such situations does not absolve the liability or relives the accused, it influence the judge while ordering the punishment. Eg of mitigating circumstances are killing a person who continuously molest the culprits girlfriend. History and Meaning of Mitigating CircumstancesMitigating circumstances are situations or conditions that do not absolve a person of liability for a crime, but can influence the judge when deciding on the appropriate punishment. These circumstances are seen as factors that may have led the person to commit the crime, and therefore, may reduce the culpability or level of punishment.Mitigating circumstances have been a part of the legal system for centuries. Initially, they were used primarily in cases of capital punishment, where the presence of mitigating circumstances could lead to a reduction in the severity of the penalty. The types of circumstances that are considered as mitigating have broadened over time, but they generally fall into categories such as the defendant's age, mental state, prior criminal record, or the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime.Examples of Mitigating CircumstancesA defendant who commits a crime while under the influence of drugs or alcohol may have their sentence reduced due to mitigating circumstances.A juvenile defendant who commits a crime may have mitigating circumstances applied, with the understanding that they are not fully mature and may not fully understand the consequences of their actions.A defendant who was coerced or threatened by another party into committing the crime may have mitigating circumstances considered by the judge.A defendant with a history of mental illness or intellectual disability may have mitigating circumstances applied, with the understanding that their condition influenced their behavior.In cases of self-defense, the defendant may have mitigating circumstances applied if it is found that their actions were a reasonable response to a perceived threat.Legal Terms Similar to Mitigating CircumstancesAggravating Factors - The opposite of mitigating circumstances, these are factors surrounding the crime that increase the culpability of the defendant or the severity of the punishment.Provocation - Similar to mitigating circumstances, this involves situations where the defendant was provoked or otherwise influenced to commit the crime.Restorative Justice - Refers to a system of justice that focuses on healing and repairing harm caused by the crime, rather than solely punishing the offender. This approach may take mitigating circumstances into account when deciding on a sentence.Mitigating circumstances are facts that do not excuse a person for civil or criminal misconduct, but which may show that he had some valid reasons for his actions. Mitigating circumstances are often used in court proceedings when the judge or jury determines a defendants sentence for a crime, or damages in a civil lawsuit. To explore this concept, consider the mitigating circumstances definition.NounCircumstances that tend to lessen the culpability of a defendant.All court cases are different, with specific circumstances that tend to either make the crime or wrongdoing more odious, or which lighten the defendants blame. Circumstances which lighten the blame or culpability are called mitigating circumstances, and may be considered by the judge or jury in determining the sentence or award of damages after a judgment has been rendered.While mitigating circumstances do not affect the decision of whether or not the defendant committed the wrong, they may help the judge lean toward leniency in sentencing or award in the end. Circumstances or facts that shed additional light on the heinous or shocking nature of the defendants actions are called aggravating circumstances, and may be used to increase the severity of the sentence or amount of the award.Mitigating circumstances in civil law are used not to determine the guilt or wrongdoing of the defendant, but to determine the amount that the plaintiff should receive as an award if he wins the case. While mitigating circumstances in civil law do not imply that a person did not suffer damages, they may reduce the amount that the plaintiff receives.For example:Brad filed a civil lawsuit against John, claiming John had defamed his character by sending an email to their coworkers, in an attempt to damage Brads reputation. During the civil court proceedings, John showed the court that Brad had written obscenities on his cubicle wall.If John can prove that he reasonably believed that it was Brad who defaced his space, the court may consider this to be a mitigating circumstance, which would lessen Johns liability, and decrease the amount of damages he may be ordered to pay.When deciding what sentence to hand down for a defendant who has been convicted of a crime, the court usually considers information about the crime committed, as well as information about the offender. Mitigating circumstances do not, in any way, dismiss the fact that the defendant violated the law, but they may lessen the penalties that the defendant receives for committing the crime.For example:Wanda walks in on her husband having an affair with another woman. She becomes enraged, picks up a heavy lamp, and hits him over the head, killing him. If Wanda is convicted of murdering her husband, the court may consider her emotional state as a mitigating circumstance when sentencing her. This does not excuse Wanda from committing murder, but sheds light on the reason for her actions.In any criminal case in which the death penalty might be imposed, the judge or jury may consider any mitigating circumstances presented by the defense in determining whether to sentence the defendant to death or life in prison. The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that a jury should weigh mitigating factors presented by the defense against aggravating factors presented by the prosecution in making its decision.The laws of each jurisdiction define what factors may be considered aggravating circumstances. These generally include such factors as:Murders committed for financial gainMurders committed during the commission of another crimeMurders of police officersMurders of multiple victimsDuring capital cases, the defense can produce evidence showing the court why the defendant should not be sentenced to death.Because many situations may be considered mitigating factors, there is no list set by law. Commonly used mitigating factors include:Defendants Age whether the defendant was an adult or minor at the time of the crime.Mental capacity such as the defendants intellectual disability, or mental state at the time of the crime.History of Abuse whether the defendant has a history of being abused.No Criminal Record this usually refers to a criminal history as an adult, but very serious offenses as a minor may be considered an aggravating circumstance.Victim Culpability the victim willingly took part in the crime or other events, which may have led to the crime.Unusual Circumstances the defendants actions were due to temporary emotional stress or severe provocation.No Harm Done the actions of the defendant were somehow justified, and did not cause harm to another person.Necessity the defendant committed the crime out of necessity.Remorse the defendant clearly shows he is remorseful for his actions.In 1985, Cordero Neelley kidnapped a 13-year-old girl so that her husband Alvin could have sex with her. Neelley took the girl to a hotel and threatened to kill her if she resisted. After beating and sexually assaulting the girl repeatedly over the course of several days, Neelley injected her with drain cleaner six times in an attempt to kill her. Neeley then shot the girl in the back. Neelley then picked up a couple, killed the man, and took his wife to her husband at the hotel. The man survived, but she killed the woman the following day.Neeley turned herself in the next day, and was charged with multiple counts of murder. The jury convicted Neeley, and recommended a life sentence. The judge disagreed, after weighing the aggravating and mitigating circumstances, sentencing Neeley to death.The aggravating circumstance considered by the judge included:The crime was committed during the course of kidnappingThe crime was extremely atrocious and cruel compared to other capital offenses that had come before the courtThe mitigating circumstances considered by the judge included:Neelleys age, as she was only 18 at the time of the crimeNeeley was greatly influenced by her husbandCivil Lawsuit A lawsuit brought about in court when one person claims to have suffered a loss due to the actions of another person.Civil Law The body of law dealing with private matters between individuals and corporations and other entities.Criminal Law The body of law dealing with criminal offenses and their punishment.Culpability Blameworthiness, deserving of blame or censure.Defendant A party against whom a lawsuit has been filed in civil court, or who has been accused of, or charged with, a crime or offense.Damages A monetary award in compensation for a financial loss, loss of or damage to personal or real property, or an injury.Defamation An intentional false statement that harms a persons reputation, or which decreases the respect or regard in which a person is held. Justia Dictionary mitigating circumstances Definition of "mitigating circumstances" Details that do not excuse a crime or wrong, but might lessen the accused's level of responsibility, impacting the severity of the punishment or damages awarded How to use "mitigating circumstances" in a sentence The judge considered the mitigating circumstances before imposing the sentence. The defense attorney presented mitigating circumstances to argue for a lesser penalty. Due to mitigating circumstances, the judge reduced the amount of damages awarded to the plaintiff. Provide Feedback In order to continue enjoying our site, we ask that you confirm your identity as a human. Thank you very much for your cooperation. Mitigating circumstances are facts that do not excuse a person for civil or criminal misconduct, but which may show that he had some valid reasons for his actions. Mitigating circumstances are often used in court proceedings when the judge or jury determines a defendants sentence for a crime, or damages in a civil lawsuit. To explore this concept, consider the mitigating circumstances definition.NounCircumstances that tend to lessen the culpability of a defendant.All court cases are different, with specific circumstances that tend to either make the crime or wrongdoing more odious, or which lighten the defendants blame. Circumstances which lighten the blame or culpability are called mitigating circumstances, and may be considered by the judge or jury in determining the sentence or award of damages after a judgment has been rendered.While mitigating circumstances do not affect the decision of whether or not the defendant committed the wrong, they may help the judge lean toward leniency in sentencing or award in the end. Circumstances or facts that shed additional light on the heinous or shocking nature of the defendants actions are called aggravating circumstances, and may be used to increase the severity of the sentence or amount of the award.Mitigating circumstances in civil law are used not to determine the guilt or wrongdoing of the defendant, but to determine the amount that the plaintiff should receive as an award if he wins the case. While mitigating circumstances in civil law do not imply that a person did not suffer damages, they may reduce the amount that the plaintiff receives.For example:Brad filed a civil lawsuit against John, claiming John had defamed his character by sending an email to their coworkers, in an attempt to damage Brads reputation. During the civil court proceedings, John showed the court that Brad had written obscenities on his cubicle wall.If John can prove that he reasonably believed that it was Brad who defaced his space, the court may consider this to be a mitigating circumstance, which would lessen Johns liability, and decrease the amount of damages he may be ordered to pay.When deciding what sentence to hand down for a defendant who has been convicted of a crime, the court usually considers information about the crime committed, as well as information about the offender. Mitigating circumstances do not, in any way, dismiss the fact that the defendant violated the law, but they may lessen the penalties that the defendant receives for committing the crime.For example:Wanda walks in on her husband having an affair with another woman. She becomes enraged, picks up a heavy lamp, and hits him over the head, killing him. If Wanda is convicted of murdering her husband, the court may consider her emotional state as a mitigating circumstance when sentencing her. This does not excuse Wanda from committing murder, but sheds light on the reason for her actions.In any criminal case in which the death penalty might be imposed, the judge or jury may consider any mitigating circumstances presented by the defense in determining whether to sentence the defendant to death or life in prison. The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that a jury should weigh mitigating factors presented by the defense against aggravating factors presented by the prosecution in making its decision.The laws of each jurisdiction define what factors may be considered aggravating circumstances. These generally include such factors as:Murders committed for financial gainMurders committed during the commission of another crimeMurders of police officersMurders of multiple victimsDuring capital cases, the defense can produce evidence showing the court why the defendant should not be sentenced to death.Because many situations may be considered mitigating factors, there is no list set by law. Commonly used mitigating factors include:Defendants Age whether the defendant was an adult or minor at the time of the crime.Mental capacity such as the defendants intellectual disability, or mental state at the time of the crime.History of Abuse whether the defendant has a history of being abused.No Criminal Record this usually refers to a criminal history as an adult, but very serious offenses as a minor may be considered an aggravating circumstance.Victim Culpability the victim willingly took part in the crime or other events, which may have led to the crime.Unusual Circumstances the defendants actions were due to temporary emotional stress or severe provocation.No Harm Done the actions of the defendant were somehow justified, and did not cause harm to another person.Necessity the defendant committed the crime out of necessity.Remorse the defendant clearly shows he is remorseful for his actions.In 1985, Cordero Neelley kidnapped a 13-year-old girl so that her husband Alvin could have sex with her. Neelley took the girl to a hotel and threatened to kill her if she resisted. After beating and sexually assaulting the girl repeatedly over the course of several days, Neelley injected her with drain cleaner six times in an attempt to kill her. Neeley then shot the girl in the back. Neelley then picked up a couple, killed the man, and took his wife to her husband at the hotel. The man survived, but she killed the woman the following day.Neeley turned herself in the next day, and was charged with multiple counts of murder. The jury convicted Neeley, and recommended a life sentence. The judge disagreed, after weighing the aggravating and mitigating circumstances, sentencing Neeley to death.The aggravating circumstance considered by the judge included:The crime was committed during the course of kidnappingThe crime was extremely atrocious and cruel compared to other capital offenses that had come before the courtThe mitigating circumstances considered by the judge included:Neelleys age, as she was only 18 at the time of the crimeNeeley was greatly influenced by her husbandCivil Lawsuit A lawsuit brought about in court when one person claims to have suffered a loss due to the actions of another person.Civil Law The body of law dealing with private matters between individuals and corporations and other entities.Criminal Law The body of law dealing with criminal offenses and their punishment.Culpability Blameworthiness, deserving of blame or censure.Defendant A party against whom a lawsuit has been filed in civil court, or who has been accused of, or charged with, a crime or offense.Damages A monetary award in compensation for a financial loss, loss of or damage to personal or real property, or an injury.Defamation An intentional false statement that harms a persons reputation, or which decreases the respect or regard in which a person is held.Mitigating circumstances are facts that do not excuse a person for civil or criminal misconduct, but which may show that he had some valid reasons for his actions. Mitigating circumstances are often used in court proceedings when the judge or jury determines a defendants sentence for a crime, or damages in a civil lawsuit. To explore this concept, consider the mitigating circumstances definition.NounCircumstances that tend to lessen the culpability of a defendant.All court cases are different, with specific circumstances that tend to either make the crime or wrongdoing more odious, or which lighten the defendants blame. Circumstances which lighten the blame or culpability are called mitigating circumstances, and may be considered by the judge or jury in determining the sentence or award of damages after a judgment has been rendered.While mitigating circumstances do not affect the decision of whether or not the defendant committed the wrong, they may help the judge lean toward leniency in sentencing or award in the end. Circumstances or facts that shed additional light on the heinous or shocking nature of the defendants actions are called aggravating circumstances, and may be used to increase the severity of the sentence or amount of the award.Mitigating circumstances in civil law are used not to determine the guilt or wrongdoing of the defendant, but to determine the amount that the plaintiff should receive as an award if he wins the case. While mitigating circumstances in civil law do not imply that a person did not suffer damages, they may reduce the amount that the plaintiff receives.For example:Brad filed a civil lawsuit against John, claiming John had defamed his character by sending an email to their coworkers, in an attempt to damage Brads reputation. During the civil court proceedings, John showed the court that Brad had written obscenities on his cubicle wall.If John can prove that he reasonably believed that it was Brad who defaced his space, the court may consider this to be a mitigating circumstance, which would lessen Johns liability, and decrease the amount of damages he may be ordered to pay.When deciding what sentence to hand down for a defendant who has been convicted of a crime, the court usually considers information about the crime committed, as well as information about the offender. Mitigating circumstances do not, in any way, dismiss the fact that the defendant violated the law, but they may lessen the penalties that the defendant receives for committing the crime.For example:Wanda walks in on her husband having an affair with another woman. She becomes enraged, picks up a heavy lamp, and hits him over the head, killing him. If Wanda is convicted of murdering her husband, the court may consider her emotional state as a mitigating circumstance when sentencing her. This does not excuse Wanda from committing murder, but sheds light on the reason for her actions.In any criminal case in which the death penalty might be imposed, the judge or jury may consider any mitigating circumstances presented by the defense in determining whether to sentence the defendant to death or life in prison. The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that a jury should weigh mitigating factors presented by the defense against aggravating factors presented by the prosecution in making its decision.The laws of each jurisdiction define what factors may be considered aggravating circumstances. These generally include such factors as:Murders committed for financial gainMurders committed during the commission of another crimeMurders of police officersMurders of multiple victimsDuring capital cases, the defense can produce evidence showing the court why the defendant should not be sentenced to death.Because many situations may be considered mitigating factors, there is no list set by law. Commonly used mitigating factors include:Defendants Age whether the defendant was an adult or minor at the time of the crime.Mental capacity such as the defendants intellectual disability, or mental state at the time of the crime.History of Abuse whether the defendant has a history of being abused.No Criminal Record this usually refers to a criminal history as an adult, but very serious offenses as a minor may be considered an aggravating circumstance.Victim Culpability the victim willingly took part in the crime or other events, which may have led to the crime.Unusual Circumstances the defendants actions were due to temporary emotional stress or severe provocation.No Harm Done the actions of the defendant were somehow justified, and did not cause harm to another person.Necessity the defendant committed the crime out of necessity.Remorse the defendant clearly shows he is remorseful for his actions.In 1985, Cordero Neelley kidnapped a 13-year-old girl so that her husband Alvin could have sex with her. Neelley took the girl to a hotel and threatened to kill her if she resisted. After beating and sexually assaulting the girl repeatedly over the course of several days, Neelley injected her with drain cleaner six times in an attempt to kill her. Neeley then shot the girl in the back. Neelley then picked up a couple, killed the man, and took his wife to her husband at the hotel. The man survived, but she killed the woman the following day.Neeley turned herself in the next day, and was charged with multiple counts of murder. The jury convicted Neeley, and recommended a life sentence. The judge disagreed, after weighing the aggravating and mitigating circumstances, sentencing Neeley to death.The aggravating circumstance considered by the judge included:The crime was committed during the course of kidnappingThe crime was extremely atrocious and cruel compared to other capital offenses that had come before the courtThe mitigating circumstances considered by the judge included:Neelleys age, as she was only 18 at the time of the crimeNeeley was greatly influenced by her husbandCivil Lawsuit A lawsuit brought about in court when one person claims to have suffered a loss due to the actions of another person.Civil Law The body of law dealing with private matters between individuals and corporations and other entities.Criminal Law The body of law dealing with criminal offenses and their punishment.Culpability Blameworthiness, deserving of blame or censure.Defendant A party against whom a lawsuit has been filed in civil court, or who has been accused of, or charged with, a crime or offense.Damages A monetary award in compensation for a financial loss, loss of or damage to personal or real property, or an injury.Defamation An intentional false statement that harms a persons reputation, or which decreases the respect or regard in which a person is held. A mitigating circumstance is a factor that lessens the severity of an act or the actors culpability for the action. Mitigating circumstances can be found in both criminal and civil cases and may be used to justify a reduction in the severity of the punishment or damages . Mitigating circumstances include, but are not limited to, the defendant's age, extreme mental or emotional state at the time the crime was committed, developmental disability , and lack of a prior criminal record. Both a judge and a jury can consider mitigating circumstances and this power cannot be limited by statute , with the possible exception of certain death penalty cases, based on the Supreme Courts ruling in Lockett v. Ohio (1978) . Recognition of particular mitigating circumstances varies by jurisdiction and the nature of the action at issue in the case . For example, 18 US Code 3592 codifies the federal governments suggested mitigating factors for the death penalty impaired capacity , duress , minor participation, equally culpable defendants, no prior criminal record, disturbance, victims consent . The code also highlights that other factors may still be considered. The opposite of a mitigating factor is an aggravating factor , which increases the severity of the action or the actors culpability for the action. See, e.g. Magwood v. Patterson, 130 S.Ct. 2788 (2010) . [Last reviewed in July of 2023 by the Wex Definitions Team] Wex Trending Popular Contact us Asked by: Louvenia Hilpert|Last update: August 9, 2022 Score: 4.1/5 (44 votes) Other common mitigating circumstances include: The defendant having no prior or significant criminal record. The defendant playing a minor role in the crime. The defendant recognizing the error of their ways. The defendant making restitution to the victim of their crime. What are 4 examples of mitigating factors? In criminal law, a mitigating factor serves to decrease the penalties associated with a criminal act....Some examples of commonly accepted factors include:The defendant's age.The defendant's mental capacity.The crime was an accident.Self defense.Provocation or heat of passionThe defendant repented from his actions.What types of things are considered mitigating circumstances? Common Mitigating CircumstancesMinor role. The defendant played a relatively minor role in the crime. ... Victim culpability. The victim willingly participated in the crime or initiated the events leading to it. ... Unusual circumstance. ... No harm. ... Lack of record. ... Relative necessity. ... Remorse. ... Difficult personal history. What are the ordinary mitigating circumstances? Generic or Ordinary Mitigating allows the reduction of the period on the penalty imposed such as the minimum, medium, and maximum period, except when there is a presence of an aggravating circumstance or several thereof. What are the two 2 classes of mitigating circumstances? Mitigating circumstances fall into 2 categories: ordinary mitigating and privileged mitigating. Ordinary mitigating circumstances are those that are enumerated in Art. 13 of the Revised Penal Code. Privileged mitigating circumstances are found in other parts of the Revised Penal Code and RA 9344. 35 related questions found 6. ORDINARY MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES 1. Incomplete justifying or exempting circumstance 2. In general terms, mitigating circumstances must be (a) significant (they have more than a minor impact on you), (b) unexpected (you must have had no prior knowledge of the event), (c) unpreventable (there was no reasonable steps you could have taken to prevent the event), (d) relevant (you must be able to link the ... Mitigating (or extenuating) circumstances are factors that tend